

# SSD Based First Layer File System for the Next Generation Super-computer

Shinji Sumimoto, Ph.D. Next Generation Technical Computing Unit FUJITSU LIMITED

Sept. 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Copyright 2018 FUJITSU LIMITED



#### A64FX: High Performance Arm CPU

SSD Based First Layer File System for the Next Generation Super-computer

Current Status of Lustre Based File System Development



## A64FX: High Performance Arm CPU

- From presentation slides of Hotchips 30<sup>th</sup> and Cluster 2018
- Inheriting Fujitsu HPC CPU technologies with commodity standard ISA



## A64FX Chip Overview





**ISA** (Extension)

Process Node

SIMD

# of Cores

Memory

**Peak Performance** 

**Memory Peak B/W** 

SVE

7nm

512-bit

48 + 4

HBM2

1024GB/s

>2.7TFLOPS

• 594 package signal pins

#### Peak Performance (Efficiency)

- >2.7TFLOPS (>90%@DGEMM)
- Memory B/W 1024GB/s (>80%@Stream Triad)

240GB/s x2 (in/out)

HPC-ACE2

1.1TFLOPS

20nm

256-bit

32+2

HMC

## A64FX Memory System

#### Extremely high bandwidth

- Out-of-order Processing in cores, caches and memory controllers
- Maximizing the capability of each layer's bandwidth



#### A64FX Core Features



- Optimizing SVE architecture for wide range of applications with Arm including AI area by FP16 INT16/INT8 Dot Product
- Developing A64FX core micro-architecture to increase application performance

	A64FX (Post-K)	SPARC64 XIfx (PRIMEHPC FX100)	SPAR64 VIIIfx (K computer)	
ISA	Armv8.2-A + SVE	SPARC-V9 + HPC-ACE2	SPARC-V9 + HPC-ACE	
SIMD Width	512-bit	256-bit	128-bit	
Four-operand FMA	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Gather/Scatter	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$		
Predicated Operations	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Math. Acceleration	✓ Further enhanced	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$	
Compress	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$		
First Fault Load	✓ New			
FP16	✓ New			
INT16/ INT8 Dot Product	✓ New			
HW Barrier* / Sector Cache*	✓ Further enhanced	✓ Enhanced	$\checkmark$	

\* Utilizing AArch64 implementation-defined system registers

## A64FX Chip Level Application Performance



- Boosting application performance up by micro-architectural enhancements, 512-bit wide SIMD, HBM2 and semi-conductor process technologies
  - > 2.5x faster in HPC/AI benchmarks than that of SPARC64 XIfx tuned by Fujitsu compiler for A64FX micro-architecture and SVE



#### A64FX Kernel Benchmark Performance (Preliminary results)

## A64FX TofuD Overview

#### Halved Off-chip Channels

Power and Cost Reduction

#### Increased Communication Resources

- TNIs from 2 to 4
- Tofu Barrier Resources

#### Reduced Communication Latency

Simplified Multi-Lane PCS

#### Increased Communication Reliability

Dynamic Packet Slicing: Split and Duplicate



#### Tofu2 Tofu **TofuD** K.comp **FX100** 6.25 25.78 28.05 Data rate (Gbps) 8 2 4 # of signal lanes per link 5.0 12.56.8 Link bandwidth (GB/s) # of TNIs per node 4 4 6 Injection bandwidth per 20 50 40.8 node (GB/s)



#### TofuD: Put Latencies & Throughput& Injection Rate Fujirsu

TofuD: Evaluated by hardware emulators using the production RTL codes
 Simulation model: System-level included multiple nodes

	Communication settings	Latency	
Tofu	Descriptor on main memory	1.15 µs	
	Direct Descriptor	0.91 µs	
Tofu2	Cache injection OFF	0.87 µs	
	Cache injection ON	0.71 µs	
TofuD	To/From far CMGs	0.54 μs	
	To/From near CMGs	0.49 µs	

	Put throughput	Injection rate
Tofu	4.76 GB/s (95%)	15.0 GB/s (77%)
Tofu2	11.46 GB/s (92%)	45.8 GB/s (92%)
TofuD	6.35 GB/s (93%)	38.1 GB/s (93%)



#### Next Generation File System Design

Next Generation File System Structure and Design
 Next-Gen 1<sup>st</sup> Layer File System Overview



#### Pros:

Stable Application Performance for Jobs

#### Cons:

- Requiring three times amount of storage which a job needs
- Pre-defining file name of stage-in/out processing lacks of usability
- Data-intensive application affects system usage to down because of waiting prestaging-in/out processing



## Next-Gen File System Requirement and Issues Fujitsu

## Requirements

- 10 times higher access performance
- ■100 times larger file system capacity
- Lower power and footprint

#### Issues

How to realize 10 times faster and 100 times larger file access at a time?

## Next-Gen. File System Design



- K computer File System Design
  - How should we realize High Speed and Redundancy together?
  - Introduced Integrated Two Layered File System.
- Next-Gen. File System/Storage Design
  - Another trade off targets: Power, Capacity, Footprint
    - Difficult to realize single Exabyte and 10TB/s class file system in limited power consumption and footprint.
  - Additional Third layer Storage for Capacity is needed:



## Next Gen. File System Design



- Introducing three level hierarchical storage.
  - 1<sup>st</sup> level storage: Accelerating application file I/O performance (Local File System)
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> level storage: Sharing data using Lustre based file system (Global File System)
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> level storage: Archive Storage (Archive System)
- Accessing 1<sup>st</sup> level storage as file cache of global file system and local storage
  - File cache on computing node is also used as well as 1<sup>st</sup> level storage



## Next Gen. Layered File System Requirements

#### Application views:

- Local File System: Application Oriented File Accesses(Higher Meta&Data I/O)
- Global File System: Transparent File Access
- Archive System: In-direct Access or Transparent File Access(HSM)
- Transparent File Access to the Global File System
  - Local File System Capacity is not enough as much as locating whole data of Global File System
  - File Cache on node memory and Local File System enables to accelerate application performance

	Meta Perf.	Data BWs	Capacity	Scalability	Data Sharing in a Job	Data Sharing among Jobs
Local File System	Ø	Ø	×	Ø	Ø	×
Global File System	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	×	Ø
Archive System	×	×	Ø	×	×	×

## Next-Gen 1st Layer File System Overview



Goal: Maximizing application file I/O performance

#### Features:

- Easy access to User Data: File Cache of Global File System
- Higher Data Access Performance: Temporary Local FS (in a process)
- Higher Data Sharing Performance: Temporary Shared FS (among processes)

Now developing LLIO (Lightweight Layered IO-Accelerator) Prototype



## LLIO Prototype Implementation



#### Two types of Computing Nodes

- Burst Buffer Computing Node(BBCN)
  - Burst Buffer System Function with SSD Device
- Computing Node(CN)
  - Burst Buffer Clients: File Access Request to BBCN as burst buffer server



#### File Access Sequences using LLIO (Cache Mode)



FUITSU

## LLIO Prototype I/O Performance





Evaluated on IA servers using Intel P3608
 Higher I/O performance than those of NFS, Lustre
 Utilizing maximum physical I/O device performance by LLIO



## Current Status of Lustre Based File System Development, etc,.

## Current Status of Lustre Based File System



- Next-gen. Lustre Based File System: FEFS
  Planning to develop on Lustre 2.10.x base
- Now testing Lustre 2.10.x based FEFS, and found several problems
  Planning to fix the bugs and report their fixes.

## Contribution of DL-SNAP



#### What is DL-SNAP? Presented@LUG2016, LAD16

(http://cdn.opensfs.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/LUG2016D2\_DL-SNAP\_Sumimoto.pdf)

- DL-SNAP is designed for user and directory level file backups.
- Users can create a snapshot of a directory using lfs command with snapshot option and create option like a directory copy.
- The user creates multiple snapshot of the directory and manage the snapshots including merge of the snapshots.
- DL-SNAP also supports quota to limit storage usage of users.

#### Issue of Contribution:

- We planed DL-SNAP contribution in 2018
- We do not have human resources enough to port to latest Lustre version

#### Our Strategy for contributing DL-SNAP:

- We are ready to contribute our current DL-SNAP code for Lustre 2.6
- We will make a LU-ticket for the DL-SNAP (by the end of Oct. 2018)
- We need help to port DL-SNAP to the latest Lustre

# FUJTSU

shaping tomorrow with you